

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/509,206	Applicant(s) LINDEMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner Timothy C. Vanoy	Art Unit 1754	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Timothy C. Vanoy.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Ludomir Budzyn, applicants' attorney.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 June 2007
**Time:** 10:25 am
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

20

Prior art documents discussed:

none


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amending the specification on pg. 1 line 10 and also pg. 1, line 32 to pg. 2 line 2 by deleting the reference to cancelled claim 20, and also proposed deleting "(Figure 3)" at the end of the abstract via an Examiner's Amendment. Attorney authorized entry of these proposed Examiner's Amendments: please see the official Examiner's Amendment for specific details..